#### Iris: Higher-Order Concurrent Separation Logic

# Lecture 12: The Authoritative Resource Algebra: Concurrent Counter Modules

Lars Birkedal

Aarhus University, Denmark

September 28, 2020

# Overview

#### Earlier:

- ▶ Operational Semantics of  $\lambda_{ref,conc}$ 
  - ▶ e,  $(h, e) \rightsquigarrow (h, e')$ , and  $(h, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (h', \mathcal{E}')$
- Basic Logic of Resources

 $\blacktriangleright \ I \hookrightarrow v, \ P \ast Q, \ P \twoheadrightarrow Q, \ \Gamma \mid P \vdash Q$ 

- Basic Separation Logic
  - $\{P\} e \{v.Q\}$  : Prop, isList *I xs*, ADTs, foldr
- Later ( $\triangleright$ ) and Persistent ( $\Box$ ) Modalities.
- Concurrency Intro, Invariants and Ghost State
- CAS and Spin Locks.

Today:

- Proof patterns for concurrency
- Key Points:
  - Authoritative Resource Algebra.
  - Fractions to track concurrent ownership.

# A Recurring Specification and Proof Pattern

- Wish to consider situation where several threads operate on shared state.
- Each thread has a *partial view* or *fragmental view* of the shared state.
- There is an invariant governing the shared state.
- The invariant keeps track of what the actual state is, hence it tracks the authoritative view of the shared state.

#### Example: Counter Module

Counter module with three methods:

- newCounter for creating a fresh counter,
- incr for increasing the value of the counter,
- read for reading the current value of the counter.
- Abstract predicate isCounter(v, n): v is a counter whose current value is n.
- isCounter(v, n) should be persistent, so different threads can access the counter simultaneously.
- Hence isCounter(v, n) cannot state that n is exactly the value of the counter, but only its lower bound.

### Counter Implementation

The newCounter method creates the counter: a location containing the counter value.

```
newCounter() = ref(0)
```

► The incr method increases the value of the counter by 1. Since l ← ! l + 1 is not an atomic operation we use a cas loop:

```
\operatorname{rec incr}(\ell) = \operatorname{let} n = ! \ell \operatorname{in}\operatorname{let} m = n + 1 \operatorname{in}\operatorname{if} \operatorname{cas}(\ell, n, m) \operatorname{then}() \operatorname{else incr} \ell
```

The read method simply reads the value

read  $\ell = ! \ell$ .

#### Authoritative and Fragmental Views

- We will use an invariant to keep track of the shared state of the module, the value of the counter.
- The invariant will have the *authoritative view* of the value of the counter, a ghost assertion:

 $\bullet m^{\gamma}$ 

Intuitively, this is the correct, true, value of the counter.

Each thread will have a *fragmental view* of the value of the counter, captured by a ghost assertion:

 $[\circ n]^{\gamma}$ 

Intuitively, this is a lower bound of the correct, true, value of the counter.

#### Authoritative and Fragmental Views

- We will use an invariant to keep track of the shared state of the module, the value of the counter.
- The invariant will have the *authoritative view* of the value of the counter, a ghost assertion:

 $\bullet m^{\gamma}$ 

Intuitively, this is the correct, true, value of the counter.

Each thread will have a *fragmental view* of the value of the counter, captured by a ghost assertion:

 $[\circ n]^{\gamma}$ 

Intuitively, this is a lower bound of the correct, true, value of the counter.

Define abstract predicate by

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma) = \underbrace{[\circ n]}^{\gamma} * \exists \iota. \exists m. \ell \mapsto m * \underbrace{[\circ m]}^{\gamma} \overset{\iota}{}^{\iota}$$

#### RA requirements

$$|\circ n| = \circ n \tag{1}$$

• 
$$m \cdot \circ n \in \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow m \ge n$$
 (2)

• 
$$m \cdot \circ n \rightsquigarrow \bullet (m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1)$$
 (3)

- a fragmental view should be duplicable (several threads may share the same fragmental view, *i.e.*, several threads may agree that the lower bound of counter is n, say)
- 2. the fragmental view is a lower bound of the true value
- 3. if we own both the authoriative view and a fragmental view, then we may update them (so we can only update a fragmental view, if we also update the authoritative view!)

### RA definition

- Carrier:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{N}_{\perp,\top} \times \mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{N}_{\perp,\top}$  is the naturals with two additional elements  $\perp$  and  $\top$ .
  - ▶ Idea: for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , write m for (m, 0) and  $\circ n$  for  $(\perp, n)$ .

Operation:

$$(x, n) \cdot (y, m) = egin{cases} (y, \max(n, m)) & ext{if } x = ota \ (x, \max(n, m)) & ext{if } y = ota \ ( op, \max(n, m)) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

► Unit: (⊥, 0).

Validity

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(x, n) \mid x = \bot \lor x \in \mathbb{N} \land x \ge n\}.$$

Core

$$|(x,n)|=(\bot,n).$$

•  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}, |\cdot|)$  is a unital resource algebra.

#### RA definition

- For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , write m for (m, 0) and  $\circ n$  for  $(\perp, n)$ .
- ► Then the required properties hold.

### Checking required properties: example

Let us check •  $m \cdot \circ n \rightsquigarrow \bullet (m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1)$ :

▶ First, recall that

• 
$$m \cdot \circ n = (m, 0) \cdot (\bot, n) = (m, n)$$
, and  
•  $(m+1) \cdot \circ (n+1) = (m+1, 0) \cdot (\bot, n+1) = (m+1, n+1)$ .

TS, for all (x, y),

$$(m,n)\cdot(x,y)\in\mathcal{V}\Rightarrow(m+1,n+1)\cdot(x,y)\in\mathcal{V}.$$

- So suppose  $(m, n) \cdot (x, y) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $x = \bot$ , and  $(m, n) \cdot (x, y) = (m, \max(n, y))$ and  $\max(n, y) \le m$ .
- ▶ But then also  $\max(n+1, y) \le m+1$  and hence  $(m+1, n+1) \cdot (x, y) = (m+1, \max(n+1, y)) \in \mathcal{V}$ , as required.

### Counter Specification and Client

Exercise: Show the following specifications:

{True} newCounter() {
$$u.\exists\gamma$$
. isCounter( $u, 0, \gamma$ )}  
 $\forall\gamma.\forall v.\forall n.$  {isCounter( $v, n, \gamma$ )} read  $v$  { $u.u \ge n$ }  
 $\forall\gamma.\forall v.\forall n.$  {isCounter( $v, n, \gamma$ )} incr  $v$  { $u.u = () * isCounter(v, n + 1, \gamma)$ }

Let e be the program

let c = newCounter() in (incr c || incr c); read c.

Show the following specification for e.

 ${\mathsf{True}} e {v.v \ge 1}.$ 

#### A More Precise Spec ?

- For the example program e above, we know operationally that the final value will be 2.
- However, we cannot prove that with out spec, since isCounter is freely duplicable:
   we do not track whether other threads are using the counter.
- Now we will show how to use *fractions* to keep track of concurrent ownership.

#### Fractions to track concurrent ownership of counter

- ► Add fraction *q* to the abstract isCounter predicate:
  - ▶ Intuition: If a thread has ownership of isCounter( $\ell$ , n,  $\gamma$ , q), then
  - the contribution of this thread to the actual counter value is n, and
  - ▶ if q = 1, then this thread is the sole owner, otherwise (q < 1) we have fragmental ownership.</p>
- Specification: (note two specs for read):

 $\begin{aligned} &\{\mathsf{True}\} \text{ newCounter}() \{u. \exists \gamma. \text{ isCounter}(u, 0, \gamma, 1) \} \\ &\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, p)\} \text{ read } v \{u. u \geq n \} \\ &\forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, 1)\} \text{ read } v \{u. u = n \} \\ &\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, p)\} \text{ incr } v \{u. u = () * \mathsf{isCounter}(v, n + 1, \gamma, p) \} \end{aligned}$ 

isCounter is not persistent anymore; instead we have:

 $\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n + k, \gamma, p + q) \dashv \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) * \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, k, \gamma, q).$ 

#### Authoritative Resource Algebra Construction $AUTH(\mathcal{M})$

- ▶ Given a *unital* RA  $(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon, \mathcal{V}, |\cdot|)$ , let AUTH $(\mathcal{M})$  be RA with
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathsf{Carrier:} \ \mathcal{M}_{\perp,\top} \times \mathcal{M}$
  - Operation:

$$(x,a) \cdot (y,b) = egin{cases} (y,a \cdot b) & ext{if } x = ota \ (x,a \cdot b) & ext{if } y = ota \ ( op,a \cdot b) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Core:

$$|(x,a)|_{\mathrm{AUTH}(\mathcal{M})} = (\perp,|a|)$$

Valid elements:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{AUTH}(\mathcal{M})} = \Big\{ (x, a) \ \Big| \ x = \bot \land a \in \mathcal{V} \lor x \in \mathcal{M} \land x \in \mathcal{V} \land a \preccurlyeq x \Big\}$$

• We write • *m* for  $(m, \varepsilon)$  and  $\circ n$  for  $(\bot, n)$ .

# Properties of $AUTH(\mathcal{M})$

• AUTH( $\mathcal{M}$ ) is unital with unit ( $\bot, \varepsilon$ ), where  $\varepsilon$  is the unit of  $\mathcal{M}$ 

• • 
$$x \cdot \bullet y \notin \mathcal{V}_{AUTH(\mathcal{M})}$$
 for any  $x$  and  $y$ 

$$\triangleright \circ x \cdot \circ y = \circ (x \cdot y)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \bullet x \cdot \circ y \in \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow y \preccurlyeq x$$

• if  $x \cdot z$  is valid in  $\mathcal{M}$  then

• 
$$x \cdot \circ y \rightsquigarrow \bullet (x \cdot z) \cdot \circ (y \cdot z)$$

in  $AUTH(\mathcal{M})$ 

(Exercise!)

▶ Remark: The RA we used earlier for the counter is AUTH(N<sub>max</sub>), where N<sub>max</sub> is the RA with carrier the natural number and operation the maximum, core the identity function and all elements valid.

# Verifying the more precise spec

New def'n of representation predicate:

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) = \left[ \circ (p, n) \right]^{\gamma} * \exists \iota. \exists m. \ell \mapsto m * \left[ \bullet (1, m) \right]^{\gamma} \right]^{\iota}.$$

Idea: invariant stores the exact value of the counter, hence the fraction is 1.

- Fragment  $\left[ \overline{o} (p, n) \right]^{\gamma}$  connects the actual value of the counter to the value known to a particular thread.
- ▶ Thus, to be able to read the exact value of the counter when p is 1 we need the property that if  $(1, m) \cdot \circ (1, n)$  is valid then n = m.
- Further, need that if  $\bullet(1, m) \cdot \circ(p, n)$  is valid then  $m \ge n$ .
- ► Finally, wish

 $\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n + k, \gamma, p + q) \dashv \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) * \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, k, \gamma, q).$ 

Verifying the more precise spec: choice of RA

▶ Achieve the above by using  $AUTH((\mathbb{Q}_{01} \times \mathbb{N})_{?})$ , where

- $\mathbb{Q}_{01}$  is the RA of fractions.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathbb N$  is the resource algebra of natural numbers with addition as the operation, and every element is valid,
- $(\mathbb{Q}_{01} \times \mathbb{N})_{?}$  is the option RA on the product of the two previous ones.

#### Properties:

#### Verifying the more precise spec

With isCounter defined as shown above, we get

$$\mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n + k, \gamma, p + q) \dashv \vdash \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, n, \gamma, p) * \mathsf{isCounter}(\ell, k, \gamma, q).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\mathsf{True}\} \text{ newCounter}() \{u.\exists \gamma. \text{ isCounter}(u, 0, \gamma, 1)\} \\ &\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, p)\} \text{ read } v \{u.u \geq n\} \\ &\forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, 1)\} \text{ read } v \{u.u = n\} \\ &\forall p. \forall \gamma. \forall v. \forall n. \{\mathsf{isCounter}(v, n, \gamma, p)\} \text{ incr } v \{u.u = () * \mathsf{isCounter}(v, n + 1, \gamma, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

Let *e* be the program

$$\mathsf{let} \ c = \mathsf{newCounter}() \mathsf{in} (\mathsf{incr} \ c || \mathsf{incr} \ c); \mathsf{read} \ c.$$

Now one can use the above spec to show:

$${\mathsf{True}} \ e \ {v \cdot v = 2}.$$